



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,035	08/04/2003	Scishi Kato	01997.020000.5	5567
45743 7	7590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA (WYETH)			GODDARD, LAURA B	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112-3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	-
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/633,035	KATO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Laura B. Goddard, Ph.D.	1642				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicat			_			
Period fo	• •						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. 19s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 04 August 2003.					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are version of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> are subject to restriction are	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
· ·	The specification is objected to by the E						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			•.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		, ,				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	• •				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Information	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO or No(s)/Mail Date	.948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1and 2, drawn to a protein of SEQ ID NO:1 and 2, classified in class 530, subclass 300+, class 530, subclass 350.
 - II. Claims 3, 4, and 5, drawn to a cDNA of SEQ ID NO: 3, 4 and 5, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Group I is different from Group II because Group I is drawn to protein and Group II is drawn to nucleic acid. Although the DNA molecules and proteins are related since the DNA encodes the claimed proteins, they are distinct inventions because the protein product can be made by other and materially distinct processes, such as purification from the natural source. Further, DNA can be used for processes other than the production of protein, such as nucleic acid hybridization assays.

Furthermore, searching the inventions of Groups I and II together would impose a serious search burden. In the instant case, the search of the polypeptides and polynucleotides are not coextensive. The inventions of Groups I and II have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. In cases such as this one

where descriptive sequence information is provided, the sequences are searched in appropriate database. There is search burden also in the non-patent literature. Prior to the concomitant isolation and expression of the sequences of interest there may be journal articles devoted solely to polypeptides which would not have described the polynucleotide. Similarly, there may have been "classical" genetics papers which had no knowledge of the polypeptide but spoke to the gene. Searching, therefore is not coextensive. In addition, the claims include five distinct sequences inclusive of various complements and fragments. This search requires an extensive analysis of the art retrieved in a sequence search and will require an in-depth analysis of technical literature. The scope of polynucleotides as claimed extend beyond the polynucleotide that encodes the claimed polypeptides as explained above. As such, it would be burdensome to search the inventions of Groups I and II.

Page 3

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- A telephone call was made to Mr. Perry on May 5, 2005 to request an oral 3. election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,035

Art Unit: 1642

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Laura B. Goddard, Ph.D. whose telephone number is

(571) 272-8788. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura B Goddard, Ph.D.

Examiner

Art Unit 1642

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4